UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION AND COMMERCIALIZATION,

Plaintiff,	Case No. 11-11039 HON. GEORGE CARAM STEEH
VS.	
NISSAN OF NORTH AMERICA, <u>et al.,</u>	
Defendants.	

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATIONS OF INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT AND VICARIOUS LIABILITY UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(B)(6) AND TO STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATION OF WILLFUL INFRINGEMENT UNDER FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 12(F) [#29] AND GRANTING DEFENDANTS FUJI HEAVY INDUSTRIES LTD.'S AND SUBARU OF AMERICA'S MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE MORE DEFINITE INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS [#31]

The parties appeared on this date for oral argument on (1) defendants' motion to dismiss plaintiff's allegations of indirect infringement and vicarious liability under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and to strike plaintiff's allegation of willful infringement under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f), and (2) defendants Fuji Heavy Industries Ltd.'s and Subaru of America's motion to compel plaintiff to provide more definite infringement contentions. For the reasons stated on the record,

Defendants' motion to dismiss is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

Plaintiff's vicarious liability claim is dismissed without prejudice.

2:11-cv-11039-GCS-LJM Doc # 42 Filed 08/23/11 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 620

Plaintiff shall amend the complaint to provide the factual specificity required by Bell

Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 (2007) and Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937

(2009) in regard to its claims of contributory infringement, inducement of infringement and

willful infringement.

Plaintiff's amended complaint shall be filed on or before September 13, 2011.

Defendants Fuji Heavy Industries Ltd.'s and Subaru of America's motion to compel

plaintiff to provide more definite infringement contentions is GRANTED.

Plaintiff shall supplement its infringement contentions to provide the facts that led

plaintiff to believe the accused products are infringing, including the results of reverse

engineering tests. The infringement contentions shall not be used for impeachment

purposes.

Plaintiff shall supplement its infringement contentions on or before September 13,

2011.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 23, 2011

S/George Caram Steeh GEORGE CARAM STEEH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served upon attorneys of record on August 23, 2011, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

> S/Josephine Chaffee **Deputy Clerk**

> > -2-